UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,106	03/19/2004	Mariano G. Fernandez	P19207	8494
	7590 10/16/200 YNES & VICTOR, LL	EXAMINER		
ATTN: INT77			NGUYEN, PHILLIP H	
315 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, SUITE 210 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212		11E 210	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2191	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

krvuspto@ipmatters.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/805,106	FERNANDEZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phillip H. Nguyen	2191				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ju</u>	ılv 2008					
	action is non-final.					
<i>i</i>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2191

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed 7/9/2008.

2. Claims 1-36 remain pending in this application and have been considered below.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Although, examiner issues a new ground of rejection to expedite the prosecution, Tsushima (USPN 6,044,450) might be used again in the future.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

5. Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stotzer et al. (EP1113356A2).

# As per claims 1, 13 and 24:

Stotzer teaches

Art Unit: 2191

accessing a program comprising a plurality of instructions including at least one no operation (NOP) instruction (see at least *FIG. 1 - instructions are fetched from cache or memory into a processor for executing*);

determining one instruction in the program preceding a determined NOP instruction whose movement forward to replace the determined NOP instruction will not result in data not available when needed (see at least [0067] "locating delayed effect instructions followed by NOPs, such as load or branch instructions, within a code"; see also [0045-0063] – all the examples 1b, 2b, 1c, etc.); and

replacing the determined NOP instruction with the determined instruction preceding the determined NOP instruction (see at least [0067] "deleting the NOPs from the code").

#### As per claims 2, 14 and 25:

Stotzer further teaches

deleting one NOP instruction in the program that is not needed to provide a processing delay to ensure that data is available to at least one dependent instruction without moving a non-NOP instruction (see at least [0067] "deleting the NOPs from the code" - the NOPs are no longer needed and therefore have been deleted from the code).

Art Unit: 2191

As per claims 3, 15 and 26:

Stotzer further teaches

deleting at least one NOP instruction in the program that is not needed to provide the processing delay to ensure the data is available to at least one

provide the processing delay to ensure the data is available to at least one

dependent instruction (see at least [0067] "deleting the NOPs from the code").

after deleting the at least one instruction, replacing at least one NOP

instruction with one determined instruction preceding the at least one NOP

instruction, whose movement forward to replace the determined NOP instruction

will not result in data not being available when needed (see at least [0045-0063]

- see the examples 1b, 2b, 1c, etc.).

As per claims 4, 16 and 27:

Stotzer further teaches

performing an additional iteration of deleting at least one instruction and

then replacing the at least one NOP instruction in response to replacing at least

one NOP instruction (see at least [0045-0063] – see the examples 1b, 2b, 1c,

etc.).

As per claims 5, 17 and 28:

Stotzer further teaches

Art Unit: 2191

wherein the instructions in the program comprise assembly language instructions coded by a developer (see at least [0008] "Pipelining is a method for executing instructions in an assembly-line fashion").

#### As per claims 6, 18 and 29:

Stotzer further teaches

determining whether the accessed NOP instruction is needed to delay processing of one dependent instruction following the accessed NOP instruction to ensure that data is available to the dependent instruction accessing the data (see at least [0067] "locating delayed effect instructions followed by NOPs, such as load or branch instructions, within a code"); and

deleting the accessed NOP instruction in response to determining that the NOP instruction is not needed to ensure that data is available to the dependent instruction accessing the data (see at least [0067] "deleting the NOPs from the code" – the NOPs are no longer needed and therefore have been deleted from the code).

# As per claims 7, 19 and 30:

Stotzer further teaches

identifying instructions preceding the NOP instruction that have a delay in writing the results and identifying dependent instructions following the NOP instruction that are dependent on an availability of data from the identified

Art Unit: 2191

instructions having the delay in writing the results (see at least [0003] "Delayed effect instructions are instructions, in which one or more successive instructions may be executed before the initial instructions effects are complete. NOP instructions are inserted to compensate for instruction latencies").

## As per claims 8, 20 and 31:

Stotzer further teaches

wherein the determining of one instruction in the program to move forward comprises determining one instruction whose movement forward to replace the determined NOP instruction will not result in data not being available to one dependent instruction following the NOP instruction (see at least [0067] "locating delayed effect instructions followed by NOPs, such as load or branch instructions, within a code; deleting the NOPs from the code"; see also [0045-0063] – all the examples 1b, 2b, 1c, etc.)

# As per claims 9, 21 and 32:

Stotzer further teaches

wherein the one previous instruction comprises a preceding instruction closest to the accessed NOP instruction in the program (see also [0045-0063] – all the examples 1b, 2b, 1c, etc.).

Application/Control Number: 10/805,106

Art Unit: 2191

As per claims 10, 22 and 33:

Stotzer further teaches

deleting at least one NOP instruction not needed to ensure that data

Page 7

accessed by the dependent instruction is available to the dependent instruction,

wherein the operations of replacing accessed NOP instructions with previous

non-NOP instructions are performed after deleting NOP instructions not needed

to ensure that data accessed by the dependent instruction is available (see at

least [0045-0063] – all the examples 1b, 2b, 1c, etc.).

As per claims 11, 23 and 34:

Stotzer further teaches

wherein the determined instruction is further not a branch target instruction

(see at least [0045] "LD instruction").

As per claims 12, 24 and 35:

Stotzer further teaches

wherein the program instructions are for execution by an engine in a

multiprocessor engine (see at least FIG. 1).

As per claim 36:

Stotzer further teaches

Art Unit: 2191

determining whether the instruction to move forward causes the data needed by one dependent instruction to be written in fewer cycles such that the number of cycles between a writing instruction and the dependent instruction are not sufficient to guarantee that the written data will be available to the dependent instruction (see at least [0045-0063] – all the examples 1b, 2b, 1c, etc.)

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 270-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 10:00 AM - 3:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Y. Zhen can be reached on (571) 272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2191

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PN 10/7/2008 /Wei Y Zhen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191